P. 28992.

IX-5)

ous references.

1891-92.

192.

(P. R.)

File No. 133 -

Serial Nos 1 -

RAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1 - 5

Schedules

Major Part B for November 1892.

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Sidde Gowda regarding
the Abkari fee of Patel & Talumiya
of Yedatore

WD 222 - C.D. - 20,000 - 14-6-20

M No 15 of 1892-93

1

Appl

Abdul Gaffoor

of Galawana Akurassa

Gedaluwa Dalla

Agam Dol

represented by

his Advocate

Mr. J. Navaratnam

Respt

Cheeka

Lebbe Saibo

represented

by Advocate

Mr. L. Mahroof

Esqr.

caused grievous hurt to the appt.
the Dy Com dismissed him
& ordered that the appt might
continue to do duties & that
he (Cants) should be confirmed at
the Samaboo if found fit.—
Nothing further passed & the
appt was not formally confirmed.
In 1891, the Dy Com on
the complaint of the Resp^t —
appointed him as Patil, as
he thought that the officer ~~appointed~~
for that Hanumante gowda was
~~appt~~ dismissed was not fit to
stand debars the James & the
the Act. The present
appeal is against this order.—

The appt's contention
is that Hanumante gowda
who was dismissed by the old
chief, has son shown not

be appointed, & that
he (appt) must be
regarded as virtually
confirmed in the appt
as he has the office
for upwards of 7 years.—

We [illegible] agree with
the Dy Govr, that the
offence for which Respt's
father was dismissed is
not of such a
nature as shews debar
his son's appointment,
& the fact that appt
was allowed to do duties
for 7 years does not give
him any claim to the
office. The appeal
is dismissed.—

13/8/92

Pr is informed
that his appeal
has been dismissed—
1/8

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

No. 50-59 and 61.

Name of Petitioner. Sciara Sowda

Village. Pulavina Attimpur

Taluk. Periakoor

District. Coimbatore

Date of Arzi. 22-1-92.

Date of Receipt. 1-2-92.

Date of Disposal. 18-2-92.

No. of Enclosures. one

(1) Stamp Correct
(2) Copy sent direct
(3) Application
(4) Rule 1 (c) (ii).

Call for Report
with a report
Rs.
27/2/92.

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner was lodging
with the Patell of Pulavina
Attimpur for the last 10
years. He has been noted
not to do duty and his case
is not known. The present
nominee is an illiterate
man & name, and his father
Kannamudie Sowda was
twice & thrice imprisoned on
criminal charge of theft.
His father in turn had undergone
imprisonment. It was on
this account that they were
dismissed from Govt Service.
The present incumbent
also was suspected of
having murdered a

Holcay as beyond this it is investigated by Govt. Re-cords may be examined Such a man is appointed as Patel.

Petitioner prays to restore him this application investigating the case.

Inquiry Commissioner

End. No. 115 & 6-12-31

As the Collr. has decided that Shidlia Nanja Jon is a tenant left after the Patels application, there is no reason to interfere with his order. If the petitioner has any cause of complaint he should appeal as it.

7

(7)

A Encl issued

No 109

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 3585-17

dated 22d January 92 received at the

Dewan's Office from Saldé Sonda

son of Saldé Sonda late Patel

of Gudavanna Attikuppa

Yedahalli Taluk, praying

for restoration to him of right

of Gudavanna Attikuppa

Patel

is forwarded, together with its one

enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner

Supreme Dist for Submission

of Proceedings and a report

on the facts of the case and

on the grounds taken in the

petition

& the petn should be returned

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,

[illegible] 1892

Petn for Mr. 11 8/7

3

File No. 28 - 12 93

(General Serial No. 30.)

8

From The Chief Secretary to Government Mysore District

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated 5

Despatched 6

Received 7 July

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 314

No

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE 89 2 17 8 JUL 9 Pet 5

The case has already been posted for hearing on 11th August 92 - on the Petn of Mr Reports. Give notice to Mr's Petnr and Rly.

11/8/92

Chief Secretary's file with Memo regarding petition of Sidda gowda praying for refund of Salaries and Establishment charges, and regarding to Suit proceedings and a report on the facts of the case on the points taken in the petition.

With reference to the above has the honor to state that the proceedings with an English precis thereof connected with the case hereunder submitted with this

Sub-Commr Nice Local rec'd* dated 7 Feb
on 7-3-92. -rec'd in reply to the reference on
a previous petition from the party.
The petition now received with the
above is herewith transmitted.

for Dy Commissioner
Superintendent

Compd
Ulu

29 — 10 — 92

15 — 4 —

MYSORE DEVAN'S OFF
PETITION DEPART
REGD. N 3509 R
DATE 1-2-92

ASM

PETITION DEPARTMENT
REC'D.
DATE

12

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

9

Appeal No. 15 of 1892-93.

Appellant.

Vs.

Respondent.

Kilde Gowda of Gubbi Nadu Kittihalli, Hedatale Taluk, Mysore District, represented by Mr. Advocate K. Narasimha Iyengar.

Chikka Ninge Gowda represented by his Adv. A. Srinivasa Iyengar.

Decision, dt. 1st Septr. 93.

The facts of the Respdt. Hanumante Gowda are that he was suspended for 3 years as he failed to give proper assistance to Govt. in a Dacoity case, and Appellant was appointed in his place. In 1887 on the representation of the Amildar that the conduct of Hanumante Gowda was not good and that he was sentenced to undergo 3 weeks imprisonment for having caused grievous hurt to the Appellant, the Govt. dismissed him, and said that the Appt.

might continue to do duties & that he (Appt.) would be confirmed at the same hand, & found fit. Nothing further passed & the Appellant was not formally confirmed. In 1894, the Ld Comm. on the complaint of the Respt. advised him as Patel, as he thought that the offence for which Kamanate Gada was dismissed was not such as should debar the giving from the direct. The present appeal is against this order.

2. The Appellant's contention is that Kamanate Gada, who was dismissed, being still alive, his son should not be appointed, and that he (Appt.) must be regarded as virtually confirmed in the appointment as he held the office for upwards of 7 years.

3. The Govt agree with the Ld Comm., that the offence for which Respts father was dismissed _____ is not of such a nature as should debar his

A. S. No. 15

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
10th August 1892.

Endorsement granted to Rec'd. Chikka Linge Gowda. Siddi Gowda of Galavina Attikuppe, Nedalore Hobli, Bangalore District represented by his adv. K. Narasimha Iyengar, in reply to his Petition Registered No. 3589/176 dated 22nd June 92.

Petitioner is informed that his appeal has been dismissed.

2. The encls: is returned.

"By Order,"

Re-draft.

for Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—5-7-92—1000.

Sent issued with enclosure. 10/9/92

P. F. 28892.

G. R. S. IX-51

Previous references.

1891-92.

192.

(K. R.)

File No. 133—9

Serial Nos. 1—5

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1—5

Schedule 6)

Major ~~Part~~ B for November 1892.

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Siddi Gaurda regarding Gabbadina Akbarsaheb Patel of Galumina & Yedatore in Yelburga Taluk.

In file 2

Accountant

A.O. 825—C.L.—20,000 11-6-30